130

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301



RESEARCH AND

No DIA objection to declarational of any DIA equities in this document. JDC 18 Apr 14

27 AUS :030

Dr. A. M. Lovelace Deputy Administrator National Aeronautics and Space Administration Washington, D.C. 20546

DECLASSIFIED IN PART
Authority: EO 18626
Chief, Recorde & Declare Div, WHI
Date: FEB 2 4 2016

Dear Al,

(S) At our AACB meeting on August 5, after the briefings on Shuttle vulnerabilities and steps to enhance survivability, we discussed the exclusion zone around the launch pads at Kennedy Space Center which has been proposed. The figure was discussed in the context of offering security against potential terrorist attacks using weapons such as the which has a maximum range. I agreed to provide the information and advice on the maximum range. I agreed to provide the information and solve on the weapons information.

To not see the basis for our asking for a exclusion zone at KSC to protect against potential terrorist weapons of this nature. The maximum range of these weapons now approaches and will probably increase with time. Any reasonable radius we select might be inadequate for this purpose in the future.

Furthermore, if we are to provide full protection against vectored attacks by groups which might use a Strella, there are many other much more expensive measures necessary.

- (U) It seems to me that public safety should be the primary concern in establishing an exclusion zone for the Shuttle at KSC. We understand the Apollo zone which extended about from the launch pad, was established on this basis.
- (U) I do feel that we should reach agreement as soon as we can on how we will provide comparable physical protection for the Shuttle and our payloads at VAVB and KSC during all phases of Shuttle operation, not just when the Shuttle is on the launch pad. I am asking Dr. Hermann to take the lead in reaching such an agreement.

OSD 3.3(b)(8)

Classified by Osia (1997)	_
Peciassity on	

in 1970 per 1878 i in prima pr 13-M-4731



Page determined to be Unclassified Reviewed Chief, RDD, WHS IAW E0 13526, Section 3.5
Date: FEB 2 4 2016



RESEARCH AND

WASHINGTON D.C. 20301

27 AUG 1999

MEMORANDUM FOR ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT AND LOGISTICS

SUBJECT: Shuttle Protection at KSC and VAFB (U)

(8) At the August 5, 1980 meeting of the Aeronautics and Astronautics Coordinating Board we discussed Shuttle survivability enhancement. Dr. Prosch was clearly concerned over the control radius exclusion zone selected by Kennedy Space Center. I have written Dr. Lovelace a letter expressing my views on exclusion zones around the Shuttle launch pads and vulnerabilities to potential terrorist attack using weapons such as the

(U) NASA is now studying the broad area of Shuttle survivability enhancement. I do feel that we should reach agreement quickly with NASA on what is needed to provide comparable levels of physical protection for the Shuttle at VAFB and KSC during all phases of Shuttle operations, not just when the Shuttle is on the launch pad. I request that you take the lead in reaching such an agreement with NASA.

OSD 3.3(D)(8)

PITT TOPEY

DECLASSIFIED IN PART
Authority: EO 13626
Chief, Records & Declass Div, WHB
Dete:
FEB 2 4 2016

Decisesing on 21 Account (23.5)

-SECRET-



ENGINEERING

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301

## COVER BRIEF

27 AUG 1980

TO: UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING

FROM: DEPUTY UNDER SECRETARY, STRATEGIC AND SPACE SYSTEMS

PROBLEM: (5) At the August 5, 1980 AACB meeting you agreed to provide information and advice to NASA on weapons such as the which terrorists might conceivably use against the Shuttle.

DISCUSSION: (S) I have already forwarded information to NASA on several such weapons, based on data provided by DIA. Briefly, the weapons are listed below:

Name	Country	•	Maximum 1	Range	(km)
					i

(5) On August 12, 1980 you provided me the following guidance: "I don't see the basis for our asking for exclusion zone. Excess reasonable to me... Can't we in a week or two decide what we need and let them know."

(8) NASA established a recommendation radius exclusion zone for Apollo on the basis for public safety primarily, so far as we know. They should be advised to establish a suitable exclusion zone for the Shuttle on a similar basis, which might be much less than

- (U) The exclusion zone around the launch pad should not be the only concern in protecting the Shuttle and payloads at the launch site. We need to be concerned also about protecting the Shuttle during orbiter, ET, SRB, and payload processing prior to delivery to the launch pad. It would be highly desirable if we would plan now to provide comparable physical protection at KSC and VAFB.
- (U) A letter to Dr. Lovelace is proposed for your signature (TAB A) providing advice to NASA on the exclusion zone problem at KSC, and suggesting that we agree to plan to provide comparable physical protection at KSC and VAFB during all phases of Shuttle operations.

OSD 33(b)(8)

Classified by P31=1000H=283=77 and P87=2680=13=80

Declassify on August 2000

DECLASSIFIED IN PART Authority: EO 13526 Chief, Records & Declass Div, WHS

FEB 2 4 2016

-SECRET-

(U) A memorandum to Dr. Hermann is also proposed for your signature (TAB B) asking Dr. Hermann to take the lead in quickly reaching agreement with NASA on the overall physical protection problem at KSC and VAFB.

RECOMMENDATION: (U) That you sign the memos at TAB A and TAB B.

COORDINATION: (U)

Dr. Hermann

(Date)

Attachments

Signed VoyT

DECLASSIFIED IN FULL
Authority: E0 13828
Chief, Records & Declass Div, WHS
Date:

FEB 2 4 2016

OSD 5 U.S.C. § 552 (b)(6)

Prepared by: Mr. 21Aug80

Thru: Dr. Atkins, Dir, O&SS

-SECRET